

# California Status Factors

**Elcode** NF00SEPU15  
**Gname** SEDECULA PULVINATA  
**Gcomname**

## Number of Occurrences

B = 6 - 20

**Comments** These fruiting bodies are at or just under the surface of the soil and are up to 6 cm broad, white to gray on the surface with a mabled (shades of gray to black) interior that becomes powdery in age. Castellano et al. (1999) report one site is known within the range of the northern spotted owl in California ; a few additional collections are known from other parts of the state (OSC n.d., Fogel n.d.).

## Number of Occurrences with Good Viability

U = Unknown what number of occurrences with good viability

**Comments** No data are available about the known sites other than that the fungus fruited there once which indicates nothing about the viability of the species.

## Population Size

U = Unknown

**Comments** This can not be determined; records reflect only species presence.

## Range Extent

A = <100 km<sup>2</sup> (less than about 40 square miles)

**Comments** In California it is known from Siskiyou, Tehama, Plumas, Sierra, Placer, and Fresno Counties, generally from a single collection per county (FSL n.d., Fogel n.d., OSC n.d.).

## Area of Occupancy

U = Unknown

LU = Unknown

**Comments** Short of using molecular tools there is no way to evaluate this factor.

## Long-term Trend in Population Size, Extent of Occurrence, Area of Occupancy, and/or Number or Condition of Occurrences

U = Unknown. Long-term trend in population, range, area occupied, or number or condition of occurrences unknown

**Comments** there is insufficient information to evaluate this feature

## Short-term Trend in Population Size, Extent of Occurrence, Area of Occupancy, and/or Number or Condition of Occurrences

U = Unknown. Short-term trend in population, range, area occupied, and number and condition of occurrences

unknown.

**Comments** insufficient information to evaluate this feature

### Threats

D = Moderate, non-imminent threat. Threat is moderate to severe but not imminent for a significant portion of the population, occurrences, or area.

**Scope** Moderate      **Severity** Moderate      **Immediacy** Low

**Comments** This species is widely distributed and thus unlikely to be wiped out by local events; however, it is very patchy in its occurrence within its range. Logging and development are the main threats as this species is likely mycorrhizal and dependent on associated trees for many of its nutritional needs.

### Number of Appropriately Protected and Managed Occurrences

A = None. No occurrences appropriately protected and managed

**Comments** The single site in the ISMS data is not protected.

### Intrinsic Vulnerability

U = Unknown

**Comments** Little information is available on its ecology, so it is difficult to assess vulnerability. Over its range, it occurs with Ponderosa pine sometimes mixed with *Pseudotsuga menziesii*, *P. monophylla*, *Quercus gambellii*, *Abies concolor* based on label data; Castellano et al. (1999) also list *A. lasiocarpa*, *A. magnifica*, *Pinus contorta*, and *Picea englemannii*. In so far as these species and their habitats are vulnerable *Sedecula* is vulnerable.

### Environmental Specificity

B = Narrow. Specialist or community with key requirements common.

**Comments** This species is one of relatively dry forests with relatively low annual rain fall; what other factors other than the presence of suitable trees determine its survival remain to be discovered.

### Other Considerations

*Sedecula* is a monospecific genus known only from relatively dry forests of the American West where it apparently fruits irregularly and seldom in quantity.

**Edition** 11/11/2002      **Edauthor** Nancy S. Weber

**Grank** S2      **Grank Date** 11/25/2002

### Reasons

*Sedecula* is reported from about six sites and perhaps 7 or 8 collections in California over about 60 years. The fruiting bodies, while not brightly colored, are large enough to be seen by experienced collectors. This is a small harvest for a species that has been known since the early 1940s (Zeller 1941). Within the range of the northern spotted owl only one site has been located and it is in California. None of the California sites are protected.

### BCD Sources

### New Sources

Zeller, S.M. 1941. Further notes on Fungi. *Mycologia* 33: 196-214.

Fogel, R. n.d. MICH Fungal Bioinformatics Project. Retrieved 2002.11.06 from <http://www.herb.lsa.umich.edu/Bioinformatics.htm>.

Fogel, R., and States, J. n.d. Provisional Checklist of hypogeous fungi occurring in the Great Basin and Arizona. Retrieved 2002.11 from <http://www.herb.lsa.umich.edu/gbsurvey/checklist.htm>.

Castellano, M.A., Smith, J.A., O'Dell, T., Cazares, E., and Nugent, S. 1999. Handbook to Strategy 1 Fungal Species in the Northwest Forest Plan. Portland, Oregon: USDA Forest Service, PNWRS PNW-GTR-476.

OSC n.d. Mycological Collections Oregon State University. Retrieved 2002.11. from <http://ocid.nacse.org/research/herbarium/myco/index.html>.