# **Washington Status Factors**

Elcode IMGAS59050

Gname HEMPHILLIA GLANDULOSA

Gcomname WARTY JUMPING-SLUG

### **Number of Occurrences**

A = 1 - 5

Comments

This species is not abundant. Branson did not record it in any of his surveys of the Washington Cascades (1980) or the Olympic Peninsula (1977) (Branson and Branson, 1984). Frest and Johannes (1993a) reported that they had not seen this species at their study sites. Burke has records of the species from 2 locations. Pilsbry (1948) lists 8 locations from Washington and Oregon (Burke et al., 1999).

# **Number of Occurrences with Good Viability**

A = No (A- or B- ranked) occurrences with good viability

Comments The species has apparently been extirpated from many of the old sites (Pilsbry, 1948; Frest and

Johannes, 1993a).

# **Population Size**

U = Unknown

Comments

# **Range Extent**

E = 5,000-20,000 km 2 (about 2,000-8,000 square miles)

Comments

The range of Hemphillia glandulosa is from Multnomah and Clatsop counties, Oregon, to British Columbia, in the western Cascade Range and west to the Pacific Coast. Washington locations included: King, Pierce, Thurston, Lewis, Skamania, Clallam, Grays Harbor, Pacific and possibly Whatcom counties. New sites extend the range as far south as the St. Helens Ranger District and Gifford Pinchot National Forest in the southwestern Cascades of Washington, and several new sites have been noted in the Olympic National Forest (Burke et al., 1999).

# **Area of Occupancy**

B = 0.4-4 km2 (about 100-1,000 acres) C = 4-20 km2 (about 1,000-5,000 acres)

LB = 4-40 km (about 2.5-25 miles) LC = 40-200 km (about 25-125 miles)

Comments The species has apparently been extirpated from many of the old sites (Pilsbry, 1948; Frest and

Johannes, 1993a).

# Long-term Trend in Population Size, Extent of Occurrence, Area of Occupancy, and/or Number or Condition of Occurrences

A = Very Large Decline (decline of >90%, with <10% of population size, range extent, area occupied, and/or number or condition of occurrences remaining)

Comments

The species has apparently been extirpated from many of the old sites (Pilsbry, 1948; Frest and Johannes, 1993a).

# Short-term Trend in Population Size, Extent of Occurrence, Area of Occupancy, and/or Number or Condition of Occurrences

A = Severely Declining. Decline of >70% in population, range, area occupied, and/or number or condition of occurrences

Comments

The species has apparently been extirpated from many of the old sites (Pilsbry, 1948; Frest and Johannes, 1993a).

#### **Threats**

A = Substantial, imminent threat. Threat is moderate to severe and imminent for most (> 60%) of the population, occurrences, or area. Ecological community occurrences are directly impacted over a widespread area, either causing irreversible damage or requiring long term recovery

Scope High

Severity High

Immediacy High

Comments

Threats to Hemphillia glandulosa include loss or fragmentation of habitat, and modification of habitat conditions, so that the species is no longer supported. A major concern is that there are so few recent observations that populations are not well known or documented (Burke et al., 1999).

# **Number of Appropriately Protected and Managed Occurrences**

C = Several (4-12) occurrences appropriately protected and managed

Comments

Some old sites were in Olympic National Park and Olympic National Forest (Frest and Johannes, 1993a), and the species has recently been rediscovered on lands administered by those agencies. It has also recently been found on matrix lands in the Gifford Pinchot and Siuslaw National Forests in units being surveyed for planned land management. It probably also occurs on the Mount Baker Snoqualmie National Forest, possibly in the Snoqualmie Pass AMA, and other National Forests in Washington. However, where it may occur on those lands is not yet known (Burke et al., 1999).

# **Intrinsic Vulnerability**

B = Moderately Vulnerable. Species exhibits moderate age of maturity, frequency of reproduction, and/or fecundity such that populations generally tend to recover from decreases in abundance over a period of several years (on the order of 5-20 years or 2-5 generations); or species has moderate dispersal capability such that extirpated populations generally become reestablished through natural recolonization (unaided by humans). Ecological community occurrences may be susceptible to changes in composition and structure but tend to recover through natural processes given reasonable time (10-100 years).

Comments

Nearly all of the terrestrial gastropods in the Pacific Northwest, including the Hephillia, are hermaphroditic, having both male and female organs. Self-fertilization has been demonstrated in some species, although cross-fertilization is probably the norm (Burke et al., 1999).

# **Environmental Specificity**

B = Narrow. Specialist or community with key requirements common.

Comments

Hemphillia glandulosa inhabits relatively moist and undisturbed coniferous forest, generally at low to middle elevations (Frest and Johannes, 1993a). Some sites may be riparian (USDA, Forest Service, and USDI, Bureau of Land Management (1974: J2-347). It is generally found under forest floor litter or debris and on or under logs. General habitat is moist forest dominated by conifers, but with a moderate hardwood component. The forest floor is moist, but not wet or saturated. Large woody debris, both conifer and hardwood, is abundant. Logs of decomposition class 2-4 are probably most often used. Litter and duff layers are deep and generally continuous. Low vegetation may be patchy and consist of sword ferns and other plants of cool shaded

forests. Hemphillia glandulosa is found in relatively moist, generally low to middle elevation, undisturbed coniferous forests or riparian areas (Frest and Johannes, 1993a). Particular foods and cover types are not documented, but most Hemphillia are usually found within or under rotting logs, or forest floor litter, apparently feeding on decaying wood or vegetation or organisms associated with that decaying matter (Burke et al., 1999).

### **Other Considerations**

Edition 11/27/2002 Edauthor Cordeiro, J.

**Grank** S2S3 **Grank Date** 11/27/2002

**Greasons** 

#### **BCD Sources**

#### **New Sources**

Branson, B.A. 1977. Freshwater and terrestrial Mollusca of the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. The Veliger, 19(3): 310-330.

Branson, B.A. 1980. Collections of gastropods from the Cascade Mountains of Washington. The Veliger, 23(2): 171-176.

Branson, B.A. and R.M. Branson. 1984. Distributional records for terrestrial and freshwater Mollusca of the Cascade and Coast ranges, Oregon. The Veliger, 26(4): 248-257.

Burke, T.E., J.S. Applegarth, and T.R. Weasma. 1999. Management recommendations of survey and manage terrestrial mollusks. Ver. 2.0. Report submitted to USDI Bureau of Land Management, Salem, Oregon, October 1999. Unpaginated.

Frest, T.J. and E.J. Johannes. 1993a. Mollusc species of special concern within the range of the northern spotted owl. Final report for the Forest Ecosystem Management Working Group. Deixis Consultants, Seattle, Washington. 39 pp.

Pilsbry, H.A. 1948. Land Mollusca of North America (north of Mexico). Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, Monograph number 3, volume 2, part 2: 521-1113.

USDA, Forest Service, and USDI, Bureau of Land Management (1974: J2-347)