**GRank** 

SRank

G3

S1

**Species Data: Index Result:** 

**Species** Erigeron davisii **Less Vulnerable** 

**English Name** Confidence Engelmann's daisy Low Taxonomic Group Vascular Plant (based on entered data)

Geographic Area Wallowa county

Cave/Ground Water Obligate No

Migratory area included in

assessment: Caitlin Lawrence No Assessor

Climate Change Vulnerability Index Values: (greatest shown when range was selected)

Climate Change Vulnerability		(greatest shown when range was selected)		
Category	Factor	Score	Comments	
	A >6.0F	0		
	A 5.5F	0		
Temperature Scope	A 5.1F	0		
(predicted increase)	A 4.5F	100		
	A 3.9F	0		
	A <3.9F	0		
	< -0.119 -0.119	100		
Hamon AET:PET Moisture	-0.096	0		
Metric Scope	-0.073	0		
mount Coope	-0.05	0		
	>-0.028	0		
Sea level rise	B1	N		
Natural barriers	B2a	SI	Narrow endemic, but not a lot of research on the species.	
Anthropogenic barriers	B2b	N		
Climate Change mitigation	B3	N		
Dispersal/Movement	C1	N	Seeds could theoretically disperse fairly far.	
Historical thermal niche	C2ai	SI		
Physiological thermal niche	C2aii	N		
Historical hydrological niche	C2bi	SI	Range of around 11 inches	
Physiol. hydrological niche	C2bii	SI	S	
Disturbance dependence	C2c	N		
Ice/snow dependence	C2d	N		
Physical habitat restrictions	C3	N		
Other spp create habitat	C4a	N		
Dietary Versatility	C4b	U		
Pollinator Versatility	C4c	N		
Other spp for dispersal	C4d	N		
Pathogen sensitivity	C4e	N		
Competition sensitivity	C4f	N		
Interspecific Relationship	C4g	U		
Measured genetic variation	C5a	U		
Bottlenecks	C5b	U		

Printed on 6/1/2017	Ρ	rir	ited	on	6/1	/20	17
---------------------	---	-----	------	----	-----	-----	----

Plant reproductive system	C5c	U	
Phenological response	C6	U	
Documented response	D1	U	
Modeled change	D2	U	
Modeled overlap	D3	U	
Modeled protected areas	D4	U	

## Data sources and notes:

Climate and precipitation data from Climate Wizard using the A1B emissions scenario and ensemble average general circulation model. Historical = past 50 years; Future = mid-century (2050s). Species data from ORBIC database. Assessment performed in conjunction with the Element Rank Calculator. Other resources consulted: NREL national wind resources, 50m resolution (http://www.nrel.gov/gis/data\_analysis\_background.html); SILVIS lab Wildland Urban Interface 2010 layer (http://silvis.forest.wisc.edu/maps/wui\_main); Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries geologic map (http://www.oregongeology.org/sub/publications/GMS/gms.htm); US mining claims on federal lands (http://mrdata.usgs.gov/mine-claim/); Oregon Protected Areas Database (http://gapanalysis.usgs.gov/padus/data/).

Detailed definitions of criteria and methodology can be found in the documentation at http://www.natureserve.org/conservation-tools/climate-change-vulnerability-index

score.

## **Legend and Definitions**

Affect to Vulnerability:	
GI = Greatly increase	
Inc = Increase	
SI = Somewhat increase	
N = Neutral	
U = Unknown	

## Index Scores:

and/or range extent within geographical area assessed extremely likely to substantially decrease or disappear by 2050. Highly Vulnerable: Abundance and/or range extent within geographical area assessed likely to decrease significantly by 2050. Moderately Vulnerable: Abundance and/or range extent within geographical area assessed likely to decrease by 2050. Less Vulnerable: Available evidence does not suggest that abundance and/or range extent within the geographical area assessed will change (increase/decrease) substantially by 2050. Actual range boundaries may change. **Insufficient Evidence:** Information entered about a species' vulnerability is inadequate to calculate an Index

Extremely Vulnerable: Abundance