## California Status Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elcode</th>
<th>NFSM000025</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gname</td>
<td>CHRYSPOMPHALINA GROSSULA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gcomname</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Number of Occurrences

**A =** 1 - 5

**Comments**

In the northern spotted owl region in California, there is only one known occurrence represented by 1 collection of Chrysomphalina grossula. Continued fungal surveys may uncover more sites. (Norvell et al 1994, Norvell 1998, ISMS-ONH 2002)

### Number of Occurrences with Good Viability

**U =** Unknown what number of occurrences with good viability

**Comments**

Number of viable occurrences cannot be predicted for this saprophyte, which is dependent upon a rapidly decomposed substrate, which is rapidly decomposed. Observation of a population on site in Oregon over a 5 year period showed that as the substrate bark chips decomposed and dispersed, the population spread. Once the bark chips were no longer replaced, the population disappeared, with the last fruitbody seen in 1998. (Norvell 2002 pers comm.)

### Population Size

**U =** Unknown

**Comments**

Records reflect only species occurrence, i.e. fruitbodies, not numbers of individuals. Fungal genets cannot be delimited without DNA sampling.

### Range Extent

**A =** <100 km² (less than about 40 square miles)

**Comments**

Within the northern spotted owl region in California, Chrysomphalina grossula is known from only one site in Arcata. (Norvell et al 1994; Norvell 1998; ISMS 2002 GIS map for Chrysomphalina grossula).

### Area of Occupancy

**A =** <0.4 km² (less than about 100 acres)

**LA =** <4 km (less than about 2.5 miles)

**Comments**

Area of occupancy can only be roughly approximated from fungal fruitbodies as the vegetative organism is hidden from site within the substrate. On-site observation of one Oregon community over a five year period noted a spotty occupancy at climax covering a ~1200m² area. The spotty distribution is linked to the presence of appropriate substrates. (Norvell et al 1994; Norvell pers comm 2002)

### Long-term Trend in Population Size, Extent of Occurrence, Area of Occupancy, and/or Number or Condition of Occurrences

**U =** Unknown. Long-term trend in population, range, area occupied, or number or condition of occurrences unknown
Comments
The fate of the sole occurrence, collected in 1986, is unknown. (Norvell 2002 pers comm.) Chrysomphalina grossula is a saprophytic fungus dependent upon appropriate weather and microclimate regimes and the presence of suitable substrate (water-soaked coniferous wood). Removal or destruction of its substrate may imperil the fungus. It has a spotty distribution, with most occurrences found in mixed or coniferous forests or parks. In the northern spotted region of the US, Chrysomphalina grossula occurrences are rare in wild habitats but occasionally found in gardens or verges covered with imported wood mulch. Individuals reproduce through spore dispersal and mycelial interactions for reproduction. Longevity of individuals and populations is assumed to depend upon the presence of appropriate substrate. The complexity of biological requirements and wide distribution preclude estimating a long-term trend for Chrysomphalina grossula (Norvell 2002 pers comm).

Short-term Trend in Population Size, Extent of Occurrence, Area of Occupancy, and/or Number or Condition of Occurrences
U = Unknown. Short-term trend in population, range, area occupied, and number and condition of occurrences unknown.

Comments
The fate of the sole occurrence, collected in 1986, is unknown. (Norvell 2002 pers comm.) Chrysomphalina grossula is a saprophyte dependent upon the presence of water soaked coniferous wood. It appears sporadically in mixed/coniferous forests and park-like settings. Incidental catastrophic events and/or removal of the substrate and/or replacement substrate coniferous sources can extirpate the fungus. Estimation of even the short term trend for the sole documented California population cannot be made (Norvell 2002 pers comm.).

Threats
U = Unknown. The available information is not sufficient to assign degree of threat as above. (Severity, scope, and immediacy are all unknown, or mostly [two of three] unknown or not assessed [null].)

Scope Unknown Severity Unknown Immediacy Unknown

Comments
The fate of the sole occurrence, collected in 1986, is unknown. (Norvell 2002 pers comm.) In California Chrysomphalina grossula was found in a mixed/coniferous forest on watersoaked coniferous wood. Whatever threatens the general habitat, microclimates, and/or substrate will imperil Chrysomphalina grossula. All populations are at risk to incidental catastrophic events, such as hot fires, and unmonitored human interference. Unprotected occurrences are at risk from logging activities such as brush clearing or removal of coniferous coarse woody debris and current populations (Norvell et al 1994; Norvell 1998, pers comm 2002).

Number of Appropriately Protected and Managed Occurrences
U = Unknown whether any occurrences are appropriately protected and managed

Comments
The fate of the sole occurrence, collected in 1986, is unknown. (Norvell 2002 pers comm.) It was not collected from protected lands.

Intrinsic Vulnerability
B = Moderately Vulnerable. Species exhibits moderate age of maturity, frequency of reproduction, and/or fecundity such that populations generally tend to recover from decreases in abundance over a period of several years (on the order of 5-20 years or 2-5 generations); or species has moderate dispersal capability such that extirpated populations generally become reestablished through natural recolonization (unaided by humans). Ecological community occurrences may be susceptible to changes in composition and structure but tend to recover through natural processes given reasonable time (10-100 years).

Comments
Chrysomphalina grossula is particularly vulnerable to the decomposition, destruction, or removal of coniferous wood and chips or the conifers that supply the substrate for new generations. It is also vulnerable to alteration of microhabitats and microclimate regimes (stream diversion, road construction, development). Once established, a population may remain viable as long as the substrate is present and other unknown conditions met.
Environmental Specificity
A  = Very Narrow. Specialist or community with key requirements scarce.
B  = Narrow. Specialist or community with key requirements common.

Comments  Chrysomphalina grossula is preferentially restricted to coniferous wood substrates (Norvell et al 1994; Norvell 1998). Its precise biological and ecological requirements are unknown and its distribution unpredictable and spotty. It is rare in “wild” settings but can be locally abundant on imported wood mulch. Phenology is variable, and in Oregon one community was recorded during every month of the year, fruiting whenever sufficient moisture was present. (Norvell et al 1994; Norvell 1998). Requirements of the mycelium are unknown.

Other Considerations
Synonyms for Chrysomphalina grossula include Omphalina wynniae and O. abiegna. The brightly colored greenish-yellow fruitbodies are unusually long-lived for small mushrooms and have been observed to endure well over 1 month in one perennially observed community. (Norvell et al. 1994; Norvell 2002 pers comm. The species should be regarded as rare everywhere, although under the right conditions and with refurbishment of substrate it can persist in one location in abundance for a number of years.
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Greasons
The fate of the sole California occurrence, collected in 1986 from unprotected lands, is unknown. Widely distributed in the north temperate zone, it is regarded as rare to infrequent everywhere.

BCD Sources

New Sources