

Washington Status Factors

Elcode NLLEC84160
Gname HYPOGYMNIA VITTATA
Gcomname

Number of Occurrences

U = Unknown

Comments

Number of Occurrences with Good Viability

U = Unknown what number of occurrences with good viability

Comments

Population Size

U = Unknown

Comments

Range Extent

H = > 2,500,000 km² (greater than 1,000,000 square miles)

Comments Reported as coastal Alaska to British Columbia, arctic, boreal, and not yet known from Oregon & Washington (McCune & Geiser 1997). Small range map in Brodo et al. (2001) indicates occurrences in Washington and Oregon, but vouchers are unknown.

Area of Occupancy

H = >20,000 km² (greater than 5,000,000 acres)

LH = >200,000 km (greater than 125,000 miles)

Comments

Long-term Trend in Population Size, Extent of Occurrence, Area of Occupancy, and/or Number or Condition of Occurrences

E = Relatively Stable ($\pm 25\%$ change)

Comments

Short-term Trend in Population Size, Extent of Occurrence, Area of Occupancy, and/or Number or Condition of Occurrences

E = Stable. Population, range, area occupied, and/or number or condition of occurrences unchanged or remaining within $\pm 10\%$ fluctuation

Comments

Threats

U = Unknown. The available information is not sufficient to assign degree of threat as above. (Severity, scope, and immediacy are all unknown, or mostly [two of three] unknown or not assessed [null].)

Scope Unknown Severity Unknown Immediacy Unknown

Comments

Number of Appropriately Protected and Managed Occurrences

U = Unknown whether any occurrences are appropriately protected and managed

Comments

Intrinsic Vulnerability

C = Not Intrinsically Vulnerable. Species matures quickly, reproduces frequently, and/or has high fecundity such that populations recover quickly (< 5 years or 2 generations) from decreases in abundance; or species has high dispersal capability such that extirpated populations soon become reestablished through natural recolonization (unaided by humans). Ecological community occurrences are resilient or resistant to irreversible changes in composition and structure and quickly recover (within 10 years).

Comments

Environmental Specificity

C = Moderate. Generalist or community with some key requirements scarce.

Comments

Other Considerations

Edition 2/20/2003 **Edauthor** Daphne Stone

Grank SNA **Grank Date** 12/23/2002

Reasons

Reported as coastal Alaska to British Columbia, arctic, boreal, and not yet known from Oregon & Washington (McCune & Geiser 1997). Small range map in Brodo et al. (2001) indicates occurrences in Washington and Oregon, but vouchers are unknown.

BCD Sources

New Sources

Brodo, Irwin M., Sharnoff, Sylvia D. and Stephen Sharnoff. 2001. Lichens of North America. Yale University Press. New Haven and London. 795 pp.

Wirth, V. 1995. Die Flechten Baden-Württembergs. Teil 1 & 2. Ulmer GmbH. Stuttgart.

Berger F, Priemtzhofer F. 2000. New and rare lichens and lichenicolous fungi from Upper Austria III. Herzogia 14: 59-84.

Lavrinenko OV, Lavrinenko IA, Pystina TN. 2000. Lichens (Lichenes) in the Kanin-Pechora subprovince of the Arctic floristic region. Botanicheskii Zhurnal (St. Petersburg) 85(5): 12-28.

Kodratyuk SY, Navrots'ka IL. 1992. New and rare species in the lichen flora of Ukraine. Ukrayins'kyi Botanichnyi Zhurnal 49(4):56-61.

Zhurbenko MP, Hansen ES. 1992. New, rare, or otherwise interesting lichen species from the Siberian Arctic.

Mycotaxon 45: 275-284.

Elix JA. 1980. A taxonomic revision of the lichen genus *Hypogymnia* in Australasia. *Brunonia* 2(2): 175-246.

Kurokawa S. 1971. Nomenclature of Japanese taxa of *Hypogymnia* and *Menegazzia*. *Miscellanea Bryologica et Lichenologica* 5(9): 129-130.

Krog H. 1968. The macrolichens of Alaska. *Norsk Polarinstitutt Skrifter* Nr. 144. Oslo.